

7 Reasons for Demanding an Immediate LHC Stop plus Safety Conference

by Dr. Otto E. Rossler University of Tübingen 2008

- 1) Black holes (hoped to be produced in the experiment) do not evaporate in defiance of Stephen Hawking's famous prediction. For the distance from the horizon to the outside world is infinite owing to the new redshift-proportional blowing up of space downstairs in the gravitational field.
- 2). Black holes are uncharged. For the infinitely reduced rest mass of particles close to the horizon is accompanied by an equal reduction of their charge by virtue of Einstein covariance.
- 3) Therefore, electrons cannot be point-shaped. Hence some form of string theory is valid empirically already. As a consequence, black holes arise more easily than previously thought. In the absence of any better hint, they arise with a 1-to-12 odds at 7 TeV (the current LHC collision energy).
- 4) In this case, analogous mini black holes arise in the collision of cosmic ray protons with planetary protons. However, these analogs have almost the speed of light while the artificial ones are maximally slow. Only LHC-generated mini black holes are dangerous for being slow enough to stay within earth.
- 5) Inside earth, they will grow more than exponentially in effect through mini quasar formation [2]. The earth will be shrunk to 2 cm in possibly only 5 years time.
- 6) The natural ultra-fast mini black holes are innocuous even to white dwarfs because their scattering cross section is small enough owing to their near-luminal speed.
- 7) Neutron stars are protected by a special mechanism (neutron star superfluidity). This prediction can be tested experimentally at CERN in a "control experiment" involving superfluid helium. This fact discovered by Rolf Landua and myself on the Fourth of July 2008 at CERN, is suppressed by CERN.

All 7 facts collude. Hence the "scientific safety conference," publicly demanded more than two years ago [4], can wait no longer. Not immediately to stop the recklessly begun experiment and convoke that conference, has become impossible. I apologize for having called the police because of this "conspiracy of nature

against man“ which CERN prefers to ignore for reasons of common sense (with which we sympathize). You see that I am blaming no one – as long as the police do their job.

I thank Bob Walberg for triggering this update. For J.O.R., April 26, 2010

References

- [1] www.wissensnavigator.com/documents/ottoroesslerminiblackhole.pdf
- [2] www.wissensnavigator.com/documents/ottoeroesslerspiritual.pdf
- [3] www.scientificblogging.com/comment/reply/31449
- [4] www.wissensnavigator.com/documents/PetitiontoCERN.pdf

Transcript Link for April 25 2010 Dr. Rössler Truth Radio Interview www.blunt.fm Host Bryan Farnum:

http://www.bryanfarnum.ca/pdf/Interview_Dr._Rossler_University_Tubingen_re_Safety_Concerns_CERN_LHC.pdf

My Apologies for Calling the Police – Hopefully in Time

A brief description of my attempt to save the world and the good name of Europe, by Otto E. Rossler

As a specialist in nonspecialization (as my friend Konrad Lorenz used to call me) I found a tiny new implication in Einstein’s “happiest thought“ – the equivalence principle.

That principle says that if you are inside a noiselessly accelerating rocket, you think you experience gravity, and vice versa. Although Newton had hit on the same idea, he lacked the instrument of special relativity which allowed Einstein to derive a phantastic mega implication: general relativity.

The new implication: “Downstairs“ in the upward-accelerating rocket, everything is not only slowed-down (redshifted), as is well known, but also proportionally enlarged (reduced in mass). This insight, obtained in the late 1990s both in Tubingen and in Canada, has staggering consequences.

A colleague of mine saw them first in 2007: “L H C !“ I did not even know what the 3 initials mean. We then thought it would be easy to defuse his joke, because it is unlikely that nature conspires against you if you overlooked something for once. In two early papers [1,2] we tried to undo the joke, in vain. Soon after, Alan Gillis asked for a 7-point summary which he published August 12, 2008 [3].